

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/727,748	09/727,748 11/30/2000		Prathap Haridoss	10964-043001/ Case 629	4182
26161	7590	02/24/2003			
FISH & RI	CHARDS	SON PC	EXAMINER		
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110				CANTELMO, GREGG	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1745	19
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/727,748	HARIDOSS ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gregg Cantelmo	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica at timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offici	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one cellater than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•				
(c)	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 14-24</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappi	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10.					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The additional limitation of an electrode that is substantially free of carbon fibers rasises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The prior art rejections of record are still held to teach the instant claims inveniton as claimed in the amendment filed September 3, 2002. See the previous office action, incorporated herein.

Patrick Ryan
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700